**Phase I – Concept Note**

**ADMINISTRATIVE AND ELIGIBILITY CHECK GRID**

**Project identification**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Project title |  |
| Project acronym |  |
| Project number |  |
| Official name of the Lead Applicant organization |  |

| **No.** | **Criteria** | **Description** | **Yes** | **No** | **NA** | **Comments[[1]](#footnote-2)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **Administrative criteria** | | | | | | |
| A.1 | The application package is compiled in English. | Application form, annexes and supporting documents are submitted as requested in the Guide for Applicants |  |  |  |  |
| A.2 | Application Form is correctly filled in. | All applicable sections of the Application Form are correctly filled in (no missing information). |  |  |  |  |
| A.3 | Where the case, the provided templates are used. | e.g. (Lead) Applicant Declaration, compliance letters, etc. |  |  |  |  |
| A.4 | There is a **pre-agreement** signed among participating partners[[2]](#footnote-3).  Please note this document will not be the subject of completion. | Annex V.1  To be provided in EN language |  |  |  |  |
| A.5 | **Project (Lead) Applicant Declaration**[[3]](#footnote-4)**/Associated Partners Declaration (if the case)** is included, properly filled-in, dated, stamped and signed by the legal representative.  Please note this document will not be the subject of completion. | Annex V.3; Annex V.7  To be provided in EN language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.6 | **Copies of the** **establishing documents** of the Applicants/Associated Partners[[4]](#footnote-5) are included: Articles of Association, Statutes, Deed of foundation, establishing resolution law, or equivalent documents according to the national legislation, etc.  Additionally, establishing documents are provided for the related branch office, proving its existence and the relationship with the headquarter. | **Exception**: public institutions, authorities do NOT need to submit this document!  With regard to Churches in Hungary: In case the religious organization is listed in the register kept by the Minister of Human Capacities (<http://egyhaz.emmi.gov.hu/>), the extract issued by the Minister must be attached. In case the Church is not listed in the register, official confirmation issued by the Main Church must be attached.  To be provided in RO/HU language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.7 | **The official statement[[5]](#footnote-6)** of the relevant decision-making body regarding the support of the project and the availability of the own contribution for the planned investment, during the implementation of the project is attached. | i.e. County Council Decision, Local Council Decision, Board of Directors Decision, authorized person etc.  To be provided in RO/HU language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.8 | **Compliance letters**, at least one letter from Romanian authorities (county/regional OR national level) and one from Hungarian County Councils, as required by the national legislations, are included[[6]](#footnote-7). | i.e. Ministry of Regional Development and Public Administration, Ministry of Culture, National Park Directorate, Environmental and Water Management Directorates, State Forestry Service/National Forest Administration Romsilva, National Administration Apele Române, Ministry of Public Health, Ministry of Education, County Councils etc.  Annex V.2  To be provided in EN language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.9 | **Extracts of relevant strategies** and / or other relevant development strategic documents, proving the project’s compliance are attached. | i.e. National Health Strategy 2014 – 2020, General Master Plan on Transport, county strategy, etc.  To be provided in RO/HU language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.10 | In case of Romanian applicants:  **Justifying document** stating the method of representation (according to the national legislation).  In case of Hungarian applicants:  **Original specimen of signature**, containing the method of representation of the legally authorized representative(s), certified by a public notary in original language, is included.  Please note this document will not be the subject of completion. | For HU applicants: In case of double representation, e.g. if the mayor and the notary can represent the local government only together, the specimens of signature of both are required!  To be provided in RO/HU language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.11 | **Letter of empowerment:**  For RO applicants: signed and stamped by the legal representative of the applicant institution  For HU applicants:  Certified by a public notary in original language,if the case.  Please note this document will not be the subject of completion. | Necessary when a person, other than the legal representative, is to sign certain official documents.  To be provided in RO/HU language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.12 | The **job descriptions** for all positions included in the proposed management team AND/OR the **Terms of references**, in case the management is externalized[[7]](#footnote-8) are included; | The tasks / requirements will be proportionate with the complexity of the project.  To be provided in EN language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.13 | In case of Romanian Applicants:  Urban planning certificate (**certificat de urbanism**) is attached.  In case of Hungarian Applicants:  **Preliminary declaration of construction works** is attached. | Annex V.8, for Hungarian Applicants  To be provided in RO/HU language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.14 | **Title deed** (*extras de carte funciar*ă / *tulajdoni lap másolat*) issued by the Land Registry, not older than 30 calendar days, of each real estate (land and / or building) affected by the investment is attached.  In case the land and / or building is in concession / administration, it must be proved that the duration of the concession / administration of the land and / or building is based on a **long term contract/enactment** (i.e. **min. 5 years after** **the estimated month for the financial closure of the project**) and that the **owner of the real estate** has given his **written agreement** that the applicant is free to perform the investment. | Exceptions: title deeds for *road construction where land acquisition (purchase/expropriation) deems necessary*, in case they are not yet available due to non-completion of the acquisition procedures. See Criterion A.16.  To be provided in RO/HU language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.15 | **Declaration from the land and / or building/ item of infrastructure owner** is included, stating that the land and / or building / item of infrastructure is: free of any encumbrances, not the object of a pending litigation, not the object of a claim according to the relevant national legislation. | Exceptions for purchase/ expropriation, in case of roads, as described above, under A.14  To be provided in RO/HU language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.16 | At least the **administrative act** approving the opportunity to develop the technical documentation/plans/design for the investment objective subject to the grant application is attached, or a document of a similar value  (i.e. Government/County/Local Council Decision for approval the start of the preparation of the planned investment/design of the feasibility study related to the planned investment) | In case of road infrastructure.  To be provided in RO/HU language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.17 | **Affidavit** regarding the land acquisition (purchase / expropriation) process | Annex V.9  Only in case the road infrastructure involves purchase / expropriations of land.  The document will state that purchase / expropriation is necessary and that the respective applicant understands its obligation to complete the purchase/expropriation process and to submit the title deed in maximum 3 months after the notification of approval for FA, ensuring they understand the penalties in case they fail.  To be provided in EN language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.18 | Copy of the prior **bilateral agreement** with the commitment of the Member States to set up Schengen-compatible border-crossing checkpoints (or other existing solutions according to the legislation in force at the time of submission of the project proposals) at the completion of any such projects, and to operate it for at least 5 years or until the enlargement of the Schengen zone, for projects that include cross-border road infrastructure, is submitted. | To be provided in RO/HU/EN language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.19 | Copy of the **bilateral agreement,** in force at the time of submission of project proposals, in case of CB infrastructure, for projects financing CB infrastructure other than roads, is submitted. | To be provided in RO/HU/EN language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.20 | The **total project estimated budget** is attached. | Annex V.4  To be provided in EN language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.21 | **Programme output indicators - project commitment** is attached. | Annex V.5  To be provided in EN language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.22 | Environmental Indicators list is attached. | Annex V.6  To be provided in EN language. |  |  |  |  |
| A.23 | For RO applicants: Template MMP, signed/stamped by Environmental Protection Agency at county level. | Annex.V.10  To be provided in RO language. |  |  |  |  |
| **B. Eligibility criteria** | | | | | | |
| B.1 | The Lead Applicant is Romanian or Hungarian public body. |  |  |  |  |  |
| B.2 | The Applicants (other than Lead Applicant)/Associated Partners[[8]](#footnote-9) are Romanian or Hungarian public bodies / bodies governed by public law / state owned companies / non-profit bodies / EGTC, established according to the relevant national legislation as described in the CP, for each Investment priority. |  |  |  |  |  |
| B.3 | The (Lead) Applicant/Associated Partner[[9]](#footnote-10) has legal competencies the project relevant field[[10]](#footnote-11). | For all project proposals, it is compulsory that the Applicants / Associated Partners have among their attributions, according with its statute or according to the national legislation, the implementation of the proposed activities or they must prove that they have a partnership agreement with the institutions competent to implement such activities, according with their statute or according to the national legislation. |  |  |  |  |
| B.4 | The (Lead) Applicants fulfil the location criteria, set out in section 2.2.1.1. Eligibility of applicants. | All partners (including the national / regional organizations’ branch offices) are located in the eligible area of the programme. In case the public entity's headquarter is registered outside the eligible programme area, the public entity has legal competencies for implementing operations in the programme area. |  |  |  |  |
| B.5 | The Associated Partner[[11]](#footnote-12) fulfils the location criteria, set out in section 2.2.1.1. Eligibility of applicants. | The Associated Partners (including the national / regional organizations’ branch offices) are located in the eligible area of the programme. |  |  |  |  |
| B.6 | There are at least two eligible partners, one from each country, involved in the project. |  |  |  |  |  |
| B.7 | The maximum number of partners in the project is 8, including the Lead Applicant. |  |  |  |  |  |
| B.8 | The maximum number of Associated Partners in the project is 4: 2 RO and 2 HU. |  |  |  |  |  |
| B.9 | The partnership has maximum one potential beneficiary with previous average weighted financial execution rate (considering the value of their part of the projects’ budgets) of less than 50% for projects financed under HURO Programme 2007-2013.  The respective potential beneficiary, if the case, is not the Lead Applicant. | Annex V.3 |  |  |  |  |
| B.10 | The full project implementation period reaches the limit of the minimum and does not exceed the limit of the maximum monthly limits set in the Call. | Start and end dates (i.e. depending on the closure of the Call of proposals or the end of the programme period) and duration of the project. |  |  |  |  |
| B.11 | All the 4 joint criteria are described, involving all Applicants (including the Lead). | Joint development, joint implementation, joint staffing and joint financing. |  |  |  |  |
| B.12 | Minimum 2 joint criteria are described, in case of Associated Partners. | Joint financing is not applicable in case of Associated Partners. |  |  |  |  |
| B.13 | The proposal demonstrates a level of proportionality of at least 40% between the contribution to the programme’ output indicators and the necessary financial resources | Annex V.4 (estimated total budget of the project (CN+FA))  Proposals demonstrating a level of proportionality lower than 40% shall not be further assessed, and may not be recommended for support |  |  |  |  |
| B.14 | In case of Ip 7/b, the road proposed to be developed provides or improves direct access of secondary and tertiary nodes to TEN-T core or comprehensive network and related infrastructure (as settled in the Cooperation Programme). |  |  |  |  |  |
| B.15 | The total amount of the budget for Concept Note is ranged between the limits set in the Guide for Applicants (0-350,000 Euro) | Concept Note budget. |  |  |  |  |
| B.16 | The percentage of the financial support requested from ERDF and state budgets are within the limits indicated in the Guide for Applicants (GfA). | Concept Note budget. |  |  |  |  |
| B.17 | The estimated amounts/percentages of the financial support requested from ERDF and state budgets (CN+FA) is between the limits set in the GfA. | Annex V.4., chapter 1.4 of GfA |  |  |  |  |
| B.18 | The proposal complies with the rule setting the maximum ceiling for the small-scale infrastructure investments (Ip 6/c and 8/b). | Annex V.4. This limitation concerns exclusively investments in cultural and tourism infrastructure. |  |  |  |  |
| B.19 | The total estimated budget of the project (CN+FA) is between the limits set in the GfA | Concept Note Budget  Annex V.4 |  |  |  |  |
| B.20 | Total budget of activities to be carried out outside the programme area is up to maximum 10% from the total support requested from ERDF at project level. | Concept Note Budget  Annex V.4 |  |  |  |  |
| B.21 | Total cost of investments in roads under Investment priorities other than Priority Axis 2 does not exceed 30% of the total eligible budget of the project. | Annex V.4 |  |  |  |  |
| B.22 | If any, the costs of land acquisition (purchase /expropriations) will be included in the CN/AF budget up to 5% of the ERDF estimated costs for the investment objective. | Concept Note Budget/AF Budget  Annex V.4 |  |  |  |  |
| B.23 | The value of ***site supervision*** is limited to 5% of the total estimated works related cost. | Annex V.4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **The project proposal is formally compliant.** | | | [[12]](#footnote-13) |  |  |  |
| **Recommendations[[13]](#footnote-14):** | | |  |  |  |  |

1. If follow up is needed (in case of unfulfilled criterion that may be satisfied through clarification/completion), option NO should be ticked and the requirement could be described in the “comments” field, awaiting for the relevant documentation. Shall be settled upon receipt /failure to comply. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. Stipulating the partners’ commitment to develop and submit the complete project documentation [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. Stipulating that all eligibility criteria are properly complied with; to be submitted for Lead Applicant and all Applicants/Associated Partners (if the case) also. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. To be submitted for Lead Applicant and all Project Applicants/Associated Partners (if the case) also. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. To be submitted for Lead Applicant and all Project Applicants also. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. The letter will include proper justification of the projects (non) compliance. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
7. Flagship Projects are projects of significant complexity and the capacity of the partners is of high importance in the efficient and sustainable management of the projects. Therefore, the Programme strongly recommends avoiding the externalization of the project management. Moreover, maximum score will be granted only to applications ensuring the project’ management staff. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
8. In case an Associated Partner fails to comply with the eligibility rules of the present Call for Proposal, the project can be approved by the Monitoring Committee under conditions that the respective associated partners is eliminated as such. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
9. Idem footnote 8 above. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
10. The criterion applies to all Applicants/Associated Partners. [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
11. In case an associated partner fails to comply with the eligibility rules of the present Call for Proposal, the project can be approved by the Monitoring Committee under conditions that the respective associated partners is eliminated as such. [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
12. Conditioned by the formulated recommendations (if any). [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
13. In case the proposal is not fully compliant, in the case of the costs limitations (criteria B20-B23), the project proposal will be passed for quality assessment under the condition that the necessary budget-cuts will be made in the quality assessment phase. [↑](#footnote-ref-14)